FEMSLE 05867

# New unified nomenclature for genes involved in the oxidation of methanol in Gram-negative bacteria

Mary E. Lidstrom \*,a, Christopher Anthony b, Francis Biville c, Francis Gasser c, Pat Goodwin b,d, Richard S. Hanson e and Nellie Harms f

<sup>a</sup> Keck Laboratories 138-78, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA, <sup>b</sup> Department of Biochemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK, <sup>c</sup> Unite de Regulation de l'Expression Genetique, Department de Biochimie et Genetique Moleculaire, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France, <sup>d</sup> The Wellcome Trust, London, UK, <sup>e</sup> Gray Freshwater Institute, Navarre, MN, USA, and <sup>f</sup> Department of Microbial Physiology, Biological Laboratory, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

(Received 23 December 1993; accepted 30 December 1993)

Abstract: The system involving the oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde in Gram-negative methylotrophic bacteria is complex. A total of 32 genes have been reported, termed mox, for methanol oxidation, and it is possible that more will be identified. Some mox genes carrying out completely different functions have been given the same designations by different laboratories and others have been given separate designations that were later discovered to be the same. It is now important to change the mox nomenclature to remedy this confusing situation. This communication proposes a new nomenclature for genes involved in methanol oxidation based on currently known linkage groups.

Key words: Methanol oxidation; Methylotrophic bacteria; Methanol dehydrogenase; Pyrroloquinoline quinone; mox Gene; pqq Gene

### Introduction mener ed senes sizedime 009 Ha

In the last decade, genes required for the oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde (mox genes) have been identified from a number of methylotrophic bacteria. A total of 32 genes have been reported, with the initial isolations coming mainly from work with Methylobacterium extorquens AM1, Methylobacterium organophilum

genes for the ability to grow on methanol in the

DSM 760, Methylobacterium organophilum XX, and Paracoccus denitrificans (see Table 1) [1–17]. Because gene descriptions have involved different laboratories with different strains, in some cases the same gene designations have been given to different genes, while in others, different designations have been given to genes that have turned out to be the same. It is clear that the field of methanol oxidation genetics would benefit from a new, unified nomenclature system that would clear up the current confusion and serve as a rational basis for naming any new genes that are discovered.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel: (818) 395 2132; Fax: (818) 395 2940; E-mail LIDSTROM@ROMEO.CALTECH.EDU

Table 1

Old and new designations for the known methanol oxidation genes in methylotrophic bacteria

Old	New	Function	References a
POO synthesis ge	nes		
moxC	pqqA	PQQ synthesis	1-5
moxP	pqqA	PQQ synthesis	3-5
moxV	pqqB	PQQ synthesis	3-5
moxT	pqqC	PQQ synthesis	3-5
moxO	pqqG	PQQ synthesis	3-5
(none)	pqqD	PQQ synthesis	3-5
moxH	pqqE	PQQ synthesis	1-5
moxU	pqqF	PQQ synthesis	3–5
Mox genes Group 1			
moxA / moxA1	mxaA	calcium insertion	1, 2, 6
moxK / moxA2	mxaK	calcium insertion	1, 2, 6
moxL / moxA3	mxaL		1, 2, 6
moxB	mxaB	regulation	1, 2, 7
moxF	mxaF	MDH alpha subunit	1, 2
moxJ	mxaJ	unknown	8-10
moxG	mxaG	cyt c <sub>L</sub>	1, 2, 8
moxI	mxaI	MDH beta subunit	8, 11
moxR	mxaR	unknown	9
moxS	mxaS	unknown	12
moxX	mxaX	regulation	13
moxY	mxaY	regulation	13
moxZ	mxaZ	regulation	13
moxW	mxaW b	regulation	14
Group 2			
moxM	mxbM	regulation	14
moxD	mxbD	regulation	1, 2, 14, 15
moxN	mxbN	regulation	14
cou-6	mxbA c	unknown	16
Group 3			
moxQ	$mxcQ^{b}$	regulation	14
moxE	mxcE b	regulation	1, 2, 14
moxU	mxcU b	regulation	14
cou-1	mxcA d	unknown	16
Group 4	nave invo	e descriptions l	Because gen
moxR	mxdR	unknown	17
moxS	mxdS	unknown	17

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> References cited include the original full description of the gene in which the old designation was first used, and also in some cases include later descriptions involving functional characterization. In some cases, the gene designation has only been used in reviews, and for those genes the appropriate review is cited.

In order to clearly distinguish the new nomenclature from the old, we feel that it is best to abandon the old system and develop an entirely new system. In this way, any designation used in a paper in the literature will immediately be recognized as using the old or new system. It is not possible to develop a function-based naming system, since some of the genes have unknown functions and since genes that are isolated in the future may be named before their functions are understood. Therefore, we propose a system that is based on linkage groups in the bacteria in which Mox genetics is the best understood, M. extorquens AM1, M. organophilum DSM 760, M. organophilum XX, and P. denitrificans. Although we realize that the same linkage groups may not be present in all methylotrophs, this serves as a starting point for a rational naming system that can be immediately applied to all known mox genes.

#### New nomenclature

#### Genes involved in PQQ synthesis

Some of the *mox* genes are now known to be involved in the synthesis of the prosthetic group, pyrroloquinoline quinone (PQQ) [3–5] and in *M. organophilum* DSM 760, these have been termed pqq genes [3]. PQQ is not specific to methanol dehydrogenase, and genes involved in PQQ synthesis in methylotrophs can be distinguished from non-PQQ mox genes by testing mutants in these genes for the ability to grow on methanol in the presence of PQQ [3]. Therefore we propose that all PQQ synthesis genes be renamed pqq, with appropriate letter extensions (Table 1). Since the first report of pqq genes was made in *M. organophilum* DSM 760 [3], we propose to use these designations in the naming of known pqq

b It is possible that mxaW, mxcQ, mxcE and mxcU (identified only in methylobacterium strains) are functionally equivalent to mxaX, mxaY and/or mxaZ (identified only in P. denitrificans).

Notes to Table 1 (continued):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> It is possible that mxbA is equivalent to mxbM, mxbD or mxbN.

d It is possible that mxcA is equivalent to mxcQ, mxcE or mxcU.

genes. Other letter designations can be chosen for any new pqq genes as appropriate.

#### Mox genes

All genes that are involved in the oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde that are not involved in PQQ synthesis will be given new names with a base symbol beginning with mx, for methanol oxidation. The third letter will be determined by the chromosomal linkage groups known for M. extorquens AM1, M. organophilum XX, and P. denitrificans (see Table 1). Linkage group 1 will be termed mxa, linkage group 2 will be termed mxb, linkage group 3 will be termed mxc and linkage group 4 will be termed mxd. Genes are given alphabetical extensions based on previous mox designations (for instance, moxF now becomes mxaF; Table 1). If new genes are identified that are in different linkage groups, they can be designated mxe, mxf, etc.

#### Other recommendations

If additional genes are identified in one of the known linkage groups, they should be given a letter other than those noted in Table 1. If genes are reported for which the appropriate linkage group is unknown at the time, it is recommended that mxu (for methanol oxidation-unknown linkage) be used until more information is available, and then a more appropriate designation can be chosen. This may temporarily result in the designation of more than one mxu group, but authors using this designation should specifically state that the linkage group is unknown. If what was believed to be a single locus turns out to be multiple, the original extension should be used for one of the genes and other letters chosen for the new genes. If two genes given different designations are discovered to be the same, one of the designations should be dropped, but it should not be used again for a different gene.

In order to avoid the simultaneous use of new designations by different laboratories, it is suggested that authors preparing manuscripts describing new genes involved in methanol oxidation clear the designation with M.E. Lidstrom in the U.S. by letter or fax ((818) 395 2940).

We propose that Mox<sup>-</sup> should be retained as the abbreviation for the phenotype in which the oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde is impaired. In addition, *mox* should be used as a collective symbol for methanol oxidation genes, regardless of linkage group.

In spoken usage, we recommend that mxa be pronounced "em-ex-a", mxb, "em-ex-bee", mxc, "em-ex-see" and mxd, "em-ex-dee".

In those cases in which the same genes from different strains are being discussed, we propose the use of subscript capital letters after the gene, P for P. denitrificans, A for M. extorquens AM1, X for M. organophilum XX and D for M. organophilum DSM 760. Other qualifiers can be used for other strains, as appropriate.

## Conclusions

The complexity of the methanol oxidation system in Gram-negative methylotrophic bacteria has necessitated a new system of nomenclature for *mox* genes. The nomenclature described here will provide a rational basis for the discussion of these genes and the naming of new genes. It is being incorporated into all new papers by the authors of this proposal, and we strongly urge all other authors to do the same.

#### Acknowledgements

Research on the molecular biology of the *mox* genes is supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (M.E.L.), Department of Energy (M.E.L. and R.S.H.), the CNRS-IP (F.B. and F.G.), the SERC (P.G. at University of Southampton) and Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Science (N.H.).

#### References

1 Nunn, D. and Lidstrom, M.E. (1986) Isolation and complementation analysis of ten methanol oxidation (Mox)

- mutant classes and the identification of the methanol dehydrogenase structural gene of *Methylobacterium* AM1. J. Bacteriol. 166, 581-590.
- 2 Nunn, D. and Lidstrom, M.E. (1986) Phenotypic characterization of ten methanol oxidation (Mox) mutant classes in *Methylobacterium* AM1. J. Bacteriol. 166, 591–597.
- 3 Biville, F., Turlin, E. and Gasser, F. (1989) Cloning and genetic analysis of six pyrroloquinoline quinone biosynthesis genes in *Methylobacterium organophilum* DSM760. J. Gen. Microbiol. 1325, 2917–2929.
- 4 Morris, C.J., Biville, F., Turlin, E., Lee, E., Ellermann, K., Fan, W.-H., Ramamoorthi, R., Springer, A.L. and Lidstrom, M.E. (1994) Isolation, phenotypic characterization and complementation analysis of mutants in *Methylobacterium extorquens* AM1 unable to synthesize pyrroloquinoline quinone and sequence of pqqD, pqqG and pqqC.J. Bacteriol. (in press).
- 5 Lidstrom, M. (1992) The genetics and molecular biology of methanol-utilizing bacteria. In: Methane and Methanol Utilizers (Murrell, J.C. and Dalton, H., Eds.), pp. 183-206, Plenum, New York.
- 6 Richardson, I.W. and Anthony, C. (1992) Characterization of mutant forms of the quinoprotein methanol dehydrogenase lacking an essential calcium ion. Biochem. J. 87, 709-715.
- 7 Morris, C.J. and Lidstrom, M.E. (1992) Cloning of a methanol-inducible moxF promoter and its analysis in moxB mutants of Methylobacterium extorquens AM1rif. J. Bacteriol. 174, 4444–4449.
- 8 Anderson, D.A. and Lidstrom, M.E. (1988) The 'moxFG' region encodes four polypeptides in the methanol-oxidizing bacterium, Methylobacterium sp. strain AM1. J. Bacteriol. 170, 2254–2262.
- 9 Van Spanning, R.J.M., Wansell, C.W., DeBoer, T., Hazelaar, M.J. and Anazawa, H. (1991) Isolation and characterization of the moxJ, moxG, moxI and moxR genes of Paracoccus denitrificans-inactivation of moxJ, moxG and

Acknowledgements

- moxR and the resultant effect on methylotrophic growth.

  J. Bacteriol. 173, 6948-6961.
- 10 Matsushita, K., Takahashi, K and Adachi, O. (1993) A novel quinoprotein methanol dehydrogenase containing an additional 32 kilodalton peptide purified from *Acetobacter* methanolicus – identification of the peptide as a moxJ product. Biochem. 32, 5576–5582.
- 11 Nunn, D.N., Day, D. and Anthony, C. (1989) The second subunit of methanol dehydrogenase of *Methylobacterium* extorquens AM1. Biochem. J. 260, 857–862.
- 12 Harms, N. (1993) Genetics of methanol oxidation in *Para-coccus denitrificans*. In: Microbial Growth on C1 Compounds (Murrell, J.C. and Kelly, D.P., Eds.), pp. 235–244, Intercept Ltd., Andover, UK.
- 13 Harms, N., Reijnders, W.N.M, Anazawa, H., Van der Palen, C.J.N.M and Van Spanning, R.J.M. (1993) Identification of a two-component regulatory system controlling methanol dehydrogenase synthesis in *Paracoccus denitrifi*cans. Molec. Microbiol. 8, 457–470.
- 14 Xu, H.H., Viebahn, M. and Hanson, R.S. (1993) Identification of methanol-regulated promoter sequences from the facultative methylotroph *Methylobacterium organo-philum* XX. J. Gen. Microbiol. 139, 743-752.
- 15 Day, D.J., Nunn, D.N. and Anthony, C. (1990) Characterization of a novel soluble c-type cytochrome in a moxD mutant of Methylobacterium extorquens AM1. J. Gen. Microbiol. 136, 181–188.
- 16 Lee, K.E., Stone, S. and Goodwin, P.M. (1991) Characterization of transposon insertion mutants of *Methylobacterium extorquens* AM1 (*Methylobacterium* strain AM1) which are defective in methanol oxidation. J. Gen. Microbiol. 137, 895–904.
- 17 Laufer, K., and Lidstrom, M.E. (1992) Regulation and expression of bacterial quinoproteins. In: Principles and Applications of Quinoproteins (Davidson, V.L., Ed.), pp. 193-222, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY.

using this designation should specifically state